BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

July 21, 2005

IN RE:)	
)	
PETITION OF TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER)	DOCKET NO.
COMPANY TO CHANGE AND INCREASE CERTAIN)	04-00288
RATES AND CHARGES SO AS TO PERMIT IT)	
TO EARN A FAIR AND ADEQUATE RATE OF)	
RETURN ON ITS PROPERTY USED AND USEFUL IN)	
FURNISHING WATER SERVICE TO ITS CUSTOMERS)	

ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This matter came before Chairman Pat Miller, Director Deborah Taylor Tate and Director Sara Kyle of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "Authority" or "TRA"), the voting panel assigned to this Docket, at a Hearing held on January 31, 2005 for consideration of the *Proposed Settlement Agreement* ("Settlement Agreement") between the Tennessee American Water Company ("TAWC" or "the Company"), the Consumer Advocate and Protection Division of the Office of the Attorney General of Tennessee ("Consumer Advocate"), the City of Chattanooga ("Chattanooga") and the Chattanooga Manufacturers Association ("CMA") (collectively "the parties").

BACKGROUND

On October 25, 1999, TAWC and Chattanooga entered into a settlement agreement of a previously-filed condemnation lawsuit¹ wherein Chattanooga sought to acquire certain assets of TAWC. Section 2.B of the settlement agreement stated as follows:

¹ See City of Chattanooga v Tennessee-American Water Company et al., Case No. 99-C-1081, Circuit Court of Hamilton County, Division IV

[The Company] and the City will file a joint petition with the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA") seeking permission to reduce over a two-year period the current charge of \$301.00 a year per fire hydrant to \$50.00 a year per fire hydrant at the end of that period. If the TRA does not approve this provision, then this section is null and void.

In accordance with the settlement agreement, on November 17, 1999 TAWC filed a tariff for approval in TRA Docket No. 99-00891. TAWC proposed to decrease, in quarterly reductions, its annual charges to Chattanooga for fire hydrants from the rate of \$301.20 per hydrant to a reduced rate of \$50.00 per hydrant. According to TAWC, the reductions would result in an annual revenue impact of negative \$1,127,964.² During an Authority Conference held on January 11, 2000, a majority³ of the Directors voted to approve the proposed reduction in annual fire hydrant charges to Chattanooga. In its Order approving TAWC's tariff filing, the Authority recognized that the lost revenues would be imputed into TAWC's subsequent rate filings, thus reflecting the decision of the Company and its stockholders to absorb the contribution loss.⁴

On February 7, 2003, in Docket No. 03-00118, TAWC sought TRA approval of an increase in annual revenues of \$3,866,813 and an overall rate of return of 8.559% with an 11% return on equity during the attrition year ending March 31, 2004. In the proposed tariffs filed by TAWC, the additional annual revenues would be recovered by increased charges to all classes of customers. Chattanooga, the Consumer Advocate and CMA intervened in that docket and participated in the hearing held on June 30 and July 1, 2003. In advance of the hearing, TAWC and the Consumer Advocate filed with the Authority a Proposed Settlement Agreement relating to specific issues, including a return of 7.73%

² See In re Tariff Filing to Reduce Fire Hydrant Annual Charges as Part of a Settlement Agreement Between the City of Chattanooga and Tennessee-American Water Company, Docket No 99-00891, Company's Response to Authority Data Request, December 20, 1999, Attachment A

³ Director Lynn Greer voted not to approve the tariff

⁴ See In re Tariff Filing to Reduce Fire Hydrant Annual Charges as Part of a Settlement Agreement Between the City of Chattanooga and Tennessee-American Water Company, Docket No 99-00891, Order Approving Tariff, p 5 (September 26, 2000)

on investments and a 9.9% return on equity.

The panel voted unanimously to accept the Proposed Settlement Agreement⁵ and, by its acceptance, determined the rate base to be \$87,062,756, the return on investment to The two issues remaining for be 7.73% and the return on equity to be 9.9%. determination were the question of continued imputation of the reduction of fire hydrant charges and the appropriate rate design for implementing the rate increase. In Docket No. 99-00891, the TRA approved the tariff filing by TAWC that voluntarily reduced rates to Chattanooga by \$1,127,964 per year for public fire protection service. As part of its Petition in Docket No. 03-00118, TAWC requested the TRA reinstate this revenue stream. The parties in Docket No. 03-00118 were unable to reach a settlement on this issue. The panel found that while the record contained no evidence necessitating a modification of the Order in Docket No. 99-00891, there was evidence to support TAWC's claim that additional revenue requirement may be necessary. For these reasons, a majority of the panel found that the imputation of reduced fire hydrant rates to Chattanooga should be discontinued.⁶ The Authority concluded that TAWC was entitled to a rate increase of \$2,745,411. As to \$1,127,964 of the rate increase, the amount of \$563,982 was ordered to be recovered directly from Chattanooga through an increase to the fire hydrant rate.

Travel of this Docket

On September 10, 2004, TAWC filed a petition to change and increase certain rates and charges so as to permit it to earn a fair and adequate rate of return on its

⁵ *Id* at 44

⁶ See In re Petition of Tennessee American Water Company to Change and Increase Certain Rates and Charges so as to Permit It to Earn a Fair and Adequate Rate of Return on Its Property Used and Useful in Furnishing Water Service to Its Customers, Docket No 03-00118, Final Order Approving Rate Increase and Rate Design and Approving Rates Filed by Tennessee American Water Company and Concurrence and Dissent of Director Pat Miller (June 25, 2004)

property used and useful in furnishing water service to its customers ("*Petition*") The pre-filed direct testimonies of Mr. Paul T. Diskin, Mr. Michael A. Miller, Mr. John S. Watson, Mr. James H. Vander Weide, Dr. Edward L. Spitznagel, Jr., Mr. Paul Herbert, and Mr. Monty L. Bishop were filed along with the *Petition*.

TAWC's *Petition* was considered at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on September 27, 2004, at which time the panel voted unanimously to suspend the proposed rate increase for ninety (90) days, from October 10, 2004 to January 7, 2005 and to appoint the Authority's General Counsel or his designee as Hearing Officer in the proceeding to hear preliminary matters prior to the Hearing, to rule on any petitions for intervention, and to set a procedural schedule to completion.

On October 1, 2004, the Consumer Advocate filed a *Petition to Intervene* in this proceeding, which was granted by the Hearing Officer on October 11, 2004.⁷ On October 25, 2004, CMA filed its *Petition to Intervene by the Chattanooga Manufacturers Association* and on October 26, 2004, Chattanooga filed its *Petition to Intervene*. In an Order issued on October 28, 2004, the Hearing Officer granted both petitions for intervention.

Following discovery in the form of interrogatories and requests for production of documents, the intervening parties submitted pre-filed direct testimony as follows. Chattanooga filed the direct testimony of Mr. Trevor Hamilton, Ms. Daisy Madison and Mr. James "Tony" Quarles; CMA filed the direct testimony and exhibit of Mr Michael Gorman, Mr. Jack Callaghan and Mr. Dan Nuckolls, and the Consumer Advocate filed the direct testimony of Dr. Steve N. Brown, Mr. Michael D. Crysler, and Mr. Terry Buckner. Rebuttal testimony of Mr. Paul T. Diskin, Mr. Michael A. Miller, Mr. John S.

⁷ See Order Granting Petition For Intervention And Establishing Procedural Schedule (October 11, 2004)

Watson, Dr. James H. Vander Weide and Mr. Paul Herbert was filed by TAWC. CMA filed rebuttal testimony of Mr. Michael Gorman.

On December 15, 2004, the Hearing Officer ordered that the proposed rate increase, which was initially suspended on September 27, 2004, should be re-suspended through March 9, 2005 or until the panel acted on the merits of the *Petition*, whichever occurred first. A Hearing was scheduled in this Docket to begin on January 31, 2005.

On January 27, 2005, TAWC and the Consumer Advocate filed the *Settlement Agreement* relating to specific issues and in which those parties stipulated to the following.

- 1. The Parties stipulate and agree that Tennessee-American is entitled to earn a 7.76% return on investments with a 9.9% return on equity, as shown in attached Schedule 9.
- 2. The Parties further stipulate and agree that a 7.76% return on investment generates a revenue deficiency of \$297,005. The revenue deficiency is shown in attached Schedule 1.
- 3. The Parties further stipulate and agree that Tennessee-American shall withdraw its request for the "Low Income Tariff" as referenced and described in paragraph 13 of its <u>Petition</u>.
- 4. The Parties further stipulate and agree that Tennessee-American shall measure and report service metrics as summarized in attached Schedule 10.
- 5. The Parties further stipulate and agree that the increase in rates attributable to the revenue deficiency of \$297,005 shall be allocated to all classes of customers in an across-the-board percentage increase of 0.93% to the metered tariffs, as shown in attached Schedule 11.
- 6. In light of the General Assembly's enactment of Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-101(d)(2004) prohibiting privately-owned water utilities from charging municipal governments for fire hydrant service, the Attorney General and Tennessee-American further stipulate and agree that public fire hydrant service charges of \$897,285 approved by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA") in TRA Docket No. 03-00118 to be allocated to municipal governments shall be allocated to all classes of customers exclusive of municipal

governments in an across-the-board percentage increase of 2.90% to the metered tariffs, as shown in attached schedule 11...

The Hearing

The Hearing in this matter was held before the voting panel assigned to this Docket on January 31, 2005. Participating in the Hearing were the following parties and their respective counsel:

Tennessee American Water Company - R. Dale Grimes, Esq. and J. Davidson French, Esq., Bass, Berry and Sims, PLC, 315 Deaderick Street, AmSouth Center, Suite 2700, Nashville, Tennessee 37238-3001;

Consumer Advocate and Protection Division – Timothy C. Phillips, Esq. and Joe Shirley, Esq., Office of the Attorney General, P.O. Box 20207, Nashville, Tennessee 37202;

Chattanooga Manufacturers Association – Kristy Godsey, Esq., Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC, 1600 Division St., #700, Nashville, Tennessee 37203 and David C. Higney, Esq., Grant, Konvalinka & Harrison, P.C., 633 Chestnut Street, 9th Floor, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37450; and

City of Chattanooga, Tennessee - Michael A. McMahan, Esq. and Phillip A. Noblett, Esq., Special Counsel, 801 Broad Street, Suite 400, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402.

At the Hearing, the parties presented information to the panel regarding the Settlement Agreement. At the time of the Hearing, TAWC and the Consumer Advocate had signed the Settlement Agreement and, although Chattanooga and CMA had not signed the Settlement Agreement at the time of the Hearing, they confirmed their intent to become signatories. Additionally, at the time of the Hearing, the Settlement Agreement contained an error which the parties agreed to delete. Specifically, the Settlement Agreement presented at the Hearing contained language in numbered paragraph 6 on page 2 that stated, "... allocated to all classes of customers exclusive of municipal governments in an across-the-board percentage increase" The parties agreed that the language in paragraph 6 should not have contained the phrase "exclusive of municipal governments."

After hearing from all the parties, the panel voted unanimously to accept the Settlement Agreement contingent on the aforementioned correction and all parties becoming signatories. By acceptance of the Settlement Agreement, the Authority determined the rate base to be \$87,611,390, the return on investment to be 7.76% and the return on equity to be 9.9%. Additionally, the panel voted unanimously to authorize the Hearing Officer to dispense with a written motion by TAWC to end the suspension of the rate increase proposed in the Petition. On February 4, 2005, consistent with the panel's decision, the parties filed a corrected Settlement Agreement signed by all parties.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The *Proposed Settlement Agreement* filed by the Tennessee American Water Company, the Consumer Advocate and Protection Division of the Office of the Attorney General of Tennessee, Chattanooga Manufacturers Association and the City of Chattanooga, Tennessee, attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>, is accepted and approved and is incorporated into this Order as if fully rewritten herein.
- 2. The Hearing Officer is authorized to dispense with any written motion by TAWC to end the suspension of the proposed rate increase.

Pat Miller, Chairman

Deborah Taylor Tate Director

Sara Kyle, Director

RECEIVED

IN THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 2005 FEB - 4 Ph 2: 34

IN RE:	•	T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM
PETITION OF TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY TO CHANGE AND INCREASE CERTAIN RATES AND CHARGES SO AS TO PERMIT IT TO EARN A FAIR AND ADEQUATE RATE OF RETURN ON ITS PROPERTY USED AND USEFUL IN FURNISHING WATER SERVICE TO ITS CUSTOMERS	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	DOCKET NO. 04-00288
	•	

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

For the sole purpose of settling the case of <u>Petition of Tennessee-American Water Company</u> to Change and Increase Certain Rates and Charges So as to Permit It to Earn a Fair and Adequate Rate of Return on its Property Used and Useful in Furnishing Water Service to its Customers, TRA Docket No. 04-00288, the Office of Attorney General through the Consumer Advocate and Protection Division ("Attorney General"), the City of Chattanooga ("City"), the Chattanooga Manufacturers Association ("CMA"), and Tennessee-American Water Company ("Tennessee-American") (collectively, the "Parties") hereby agree and stipulate as follows in the above-styled case set for hearing on January 31, 2005:

- 1. The Parties stipulate and agree that Tennessee-American is entitled to earn a 7.76% return on investments with a 9.9% return on equity, as shown in attached Schedule 9.
- 2. The Parties further stipulate and agree that a 7.76% return on investment generates a revenue deficiency of \$297,005. The revenue deficiency is shown in attached Schedule 1.

- 3. The Parties further stipulate and agree that Tennessee-American shall withdraw its request for the "Low Income Tariff" as referenced and described in paragraph 13 of its Petition.
- 4. The Parties further stipulate and agree that Tennessee-American shall measure and report service metrics as summarized in attached Schedule 10.
- 5. The Parties further stipulate and agree that the increase in rates attributable to the revenue deficiency of \$297,005 shall be allocated to all classes of customers in an across-the-board percentage increase of 0.93% to the metered tariffs, as shown in attached Schedule 11.
- 6. In light of the General Assembly's enactment of Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-5-101(d) (2004) prohibiting privately-owned water utilities from charging municipal governments for fire hydrant service, the Parties further stipulate and agree that public fire hydrant service charges of \$897,285 approved by the Tennessee Regulatory Authority ("TRA") in TRA Docket No. 03-00118 to be allocated to municipal governments shall be allocated to all classes of customers in an across-the-board percentage increase of 2.90% to the metered tariffs, as shown in attached Schedule 11.
- 7. The Parties further stipulate and agree that Tennessee-American shall submit with its next rate case petition a cost of service study in the same form as that submitted by Dr. Herbert in Tennessee-American's last rate case, TRA Docket No. 03-00118. The Parties further stipulate and agree that in any future proceeding each Party reserves its right to proffer its own testimony and evidence regarding the sufficiency, conclusions, weight and relevancy of such cost of service study.
- 8. In the event that the TRA does not accept the Proposed Settlement in whole or in part, the Parties are not bound by any position set forth herein.

R. Dale Grimes (BPR #6223)
BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC
(615) 742-6200

BY:

J. Davidson French (BPR #15442)
BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC
(615) 742-6200

CHATTANOOGA MANUFACTURERS
ASSOCIATION
BY:
Henry M. Walker (BPR #282)
BOULT, CUMMINGS, CONNERS & BERRY
(615) 252-2363

BY:
David C. Higney (BPR #14888)
GRANT, KONVALINKA & HARRISON
(423) 756-8400

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER

COMPAN

Dated: February 3, 2005

BY:

CONSUMER ADVOCATE AND
PROTECTION DIVISION OF THE
OFFICE OF THE TENNESSEE
ATTORNEY GENERAL
BY:
Timothy C. Phillips (BPR #12751)
Senior Counsel
(615) 741-3533

BY:
Joe Sharley (BPR #22287)
Assistant Attorney General
(615) 741-3549

CITY Of CHATTANOOGA
BY:
Michael A. McMahan (BPR #810)
Special Counsel

(423) 757-5338

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing has been forwarded by facsimile and/or first-class mail, postage prepaid on February 476, 2005, to the following:

T.G. Pappas, Esq.
R. Dale Grimes, Esq.
J. Davidson French, Esq.
Bass, Berry & Sims, PLC
AmSouth Center
315 Deaderick Street, Suite 2700
Nashville, TN 37238-3001

Michael A. McMahan, Esq. Lawrence W. Kelly, Esq. Nelson, McMahan & Noblett 801 Broad Street, Suite 400 Chattanooga, TN 37402

Henry M. Walker, Esq. Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC 1600 Division Street, Suite 700 Nashville, TN 37203

David C. Higney, Esq. Grant, Konvalinka & Harrison, P.C. 633 Chestnut Street, 9th Floor Chattanooga, TN 37450

TIMOTHY C. PHILLIPS

Serior Counsel

#81968

Tennessee-American Water Index to Schedules For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2005

	Schedule No.
Revenue Deficiency	1
Comparative Rate Base	2
Income Statement at Current Rates	3
Income Statement at Proposed Rates	4
Operation & Maintenance Expenses	5
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	6
Excise and Income Taxes	7
Revenue Conversion Factor	8
Cost of Capital	9
Service Metrics	10
Rate Design	11

Tennessee-American Water Revenue Deficiency For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2005

Line		0.4.00		D.//
No.		CAPD •	Company	Difference
1	Rate Base	87,611,390 A/	87,611,390 A/	
2	Operating Income at Present Rates	6,616,813 B/	5,846,425 B/	770,388
3	Earned Rate of Return (Line 2/Line 1)	7.55%	6 67%	0 88%
4	Cost of Capital	7.76% C/	7 997% E/	-0 24%
5	Required Operating Income (Line 1*Line 4)	6,798,644	7,006,283	(207,639)
6	Operating Income Deficiency (Line 5-Line 2)	181,830	1,159,858	(978,027)
7	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1 633418 D/	1 69890763 E/	(0.065490)
8	Revenue Deficiency (Line 6*Line 7)	297,005	1,970,491	(1,673,486)

A/ Schedule 2

B/ Schedule 3

C/ Schedule 9

D/ Schedule 8

E/ Diskin REVISED Exhibit 1, Schedule 1

Tennessee-Amèrican Water Comparative Rate Base For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2005

Line		CAPD	A/	Company	A/	Difference
<u>No.</u>	Utility Plant in Service	160,157,718	· ^ -	160,157,718	· ~ _	- Dillerence
2	Construction Work in Progress	801,659		801,659		-
3	Utility Plant Capital Lease	1,590,500		1,590,500		-
4	Limited-Term Utility Plant - Net	(3,270)		(3,270)		~
5	Working Capital	1,385,205	٠	1,385,205		-
6	Def Maint	5,641	. <u>-</u>	5,641	_	<u>.</u>
7	Total Additions	163,937,453	=	163,937,453	_	-
8	Accumulated Depreciation	51,928,414		51,928,414		-
9	Accumulated Amort of Utility Capital Lease	742,234		742,234		-
10	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	13,486,419		13,486,419		-
11	Customer Advances for Construction	2,432,851		2,432,851		-
12	Contributions In Aid of Construction	7,765,092		7,765,092		-
13	Unamortized Investment Tax Credit	45,733		45,733		-
14	RWIP	(74,680)		(74,680)	_	<u>-</u>
15	Total Deductions	76,326,063	_	76,326,063	_	-
16	Rate Base	87,611,390	=	87,611,390	=	-

A/ Company Exhibit 1, Sch 2

Tennessee-American Water Income Statement at Current Rates For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2005

Line						
No		CAPD *		Company		Difference
1	Operating Revenues	33,057,417	A/	33,057,417	A/	-
2	Operations and Maintenance Expense	16,262,091	B/	16,709,359	B/	(447,268)
3	Depreciation and Amortization Expense	4,558,016	C/	4,558,016	C/	-
4	Taxes Other Than Income	3,314,870	D/	3,456,977	G/	(142,107)
5	State Excise Tax	170,901	E/	378,505	H/	(207,604)
6	Federal Income Tax	2,163,517	. E/_	2,136,926	_H/_	26,591
7	Total Operating Expense	26,469,395		27,239,783	- -	(770,388)
8	AFUDC	28,791	. F/_	28,791	. F/ _	-
9	Net Operating Income for Return	6,616,813		5,846,425	. =	770,388

A/ Company Exhibit 2, Sch 2

B/ Schedule 5

C/ Company Exhibit 2, Sch. 1

D/ Schedule 6

E/ Schedule 7

F/ Company Exhibit 2, Sch 3

G/ Company Exhibit 2, Sch 1, but does not tie to Company's supporting workpapers (See Sch. 6)

H/ Company Exhibit 2, Sch. 6

Tennessee-American Water Income Statement at Proposed Rates For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2005

Line No.		Current Ra f es	A/	Adjustments	C/	Proposed Rates
1	Operating Revenues	32,522,732	В/	297,005		32,819,737
2	Forfeited Discount Revenues	534,685	В/	4,990		539,675
3	Total Revenues	33,057,417	: :	301,995	: =	33,359,412
4	Operations and Maintenance Expense	16,262,091		2,809		16,264,900
5	Depreciation and Amortization Expense	4,558,016				4,558,016
6	Taxes Other Than Income	3,314,870				3,314,870
7	State Excise Tax	170,901		19,447		190,348
8	Federal Income Tax	2,163,517	.`	97,909	-	2,261,425
9	Total Operating Expense	26,469,395			_	26,589,559
10	AFUDC	28,791			_	28,791
11	Net Operating Income for Return	6,616,813	•		=	6,798,644

A/ Schedule 3

B/ Company Exhibit 2, Sch. 2

C/ Schedule 1, Line 8 x appropriate factor from Schedule 8

Tennessee-American Water Operation & Maintenance Expenses For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2005

Line No		CAPD A	Company B	/ Difference
1	Salaries and Wages	4,082,080	4,383,883	(301,803)
2	Purchased Water	26,148	15,330	10,818
3	Fuel and Power	1,650,433	1,755,680	(105,247)
4	Chemicals	861,861	861,861	-
5	Waste Disposal	118,201	133,438	(15,237)
6	Management Fees	3,219,932	3,062,940	156,992
7	Group Insurance	1,339,248	1,386,004	(46,756)
8	Pensions	829,731	892,790	(63,059)
9	Regulatory Expense	58,000	58,000	-
10	Insurance Other Than Group	673,430	657,000	16,430
11	Customer Accounting	570,625	572,893	(2,268)
12	Uncollectible Expense	289,530	289,530	-
13	Rents	37,888	38,286	(398)
14	General Office Expense	208,057	193,122	14,935
15	Miscellaneous Expense	1,715,487	1,661,970	53,517
16	Other Maintenance Expense	581,440	746,632	(165,192)
17	Total O&M Expense	16,262,091	16,709,359	(447,268)

A/ CAPD Workpapers

B/ Company Exhibit 2, Sch. 3

Tennessee-American Water Taxes Other Than Income Taxes For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2005

Line No		CAPD		Company	D/ Difference
1	Other General Taxes	900	-	900	-
2	Gross Receipts Tax	356,815	A/	566,595	(209,780)
3	TRA Inspection Fee	59,413		59,413	-
4	Property Taxes	2,300,000	B/	2,503,629	(203,629)
5	Franchise Tax	259,938		259,938	-
6	FICA Taxes	332,999	C/	332,999	-
7	Unemployment Taxes	4,805	.C/	4,805	
8	Total Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	3,314,870	=	3,728,279	(413,409)

A/ CAPD Workpaper T-OTAX2

B/ CAPD Workpaper T-OTAX1

C/ CAPD Workpaper T-OTAX3

D/ Company Exhibit 2, Sch. 5 adjusted by Company Response to CAPD Data Request #17

Tennessee-American Water Excise and Income Taxes For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2005

Line No		Attrition Amount A/
1	Operating Revenues	33,057,417 B/
2	Salaries and Wages	4,082,080
3	Purchased Water	26,148
4	Fuel and Power	1,650,433
5	Chemicals	861,861
6	Waste Disposal	118,201
7	Service Company Charges	3,219,932
8	Group Insurance	1,339,248
9	Pensions	829,731
10	Regulatory Expense	58,000
11	Insurance Other Than Group	673,430
12	Customer Accounting	570,625
13	Uncollectible Expense	289,530
14	Rents	37,888
15	General Office Expense	208,057
16	Miscellaneous Expense	1,715,487
17	Other Maintenance Expense	581,440
18	Depreciation and Amortization Expense	4,558,016
19	Taxes Other Than Income	3,314,870
20	NO! Before Excise and Income Taxes	8,922,440
21	AFUDC	28,791
22	Interest Expense	(3,200,900) C/
23	Pre-tax Book Income	5,750,331
24	Schedule M Adjustments	(4,479,690) D/
25	Excise Taxable Income	1,270,641
26	Excise Tax Rate	6.50%
27	Excise Tax Payable	82,592
28	Excise Tax Deferred	88,309
29	Excise Tax Expense	170,901
30	Pre-tax Book Income	5,750,331
31	Preferred Dividend Credit	(28,824) E/
32	Excise Tax	(170,901)
33	Schedule M Adjustments	(4,479,690) D/
34	FIT Taxable Income	1,070,917
35	FIT Rate	35 00%
36	Federal Income Tax Payable	374,821
37	ITC Amortization	(76,368)
38	Federal Income Tax Deferred	1,865,064
39	Federal Income Tax Expense	2,163,517

- A/ Schedule 5
- B/ Schedule 4
- C/ Schedule 1, line 1 * Weighted Cost of Debt per Schedule 9
 D/ This is the net difference of the Permanent Differences of \$2,950 and the Temporary Differences of \$4,482,640 shown on E/
- E/ Exhibit No. 2, Schedule 6, Page 2 of 2

Tennessee-American Water Revenue Conversion Factor For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2005

Line			A		D .
<u>No.</u>	Operating Payanues	•	Amount	-	Balance 1 000000
ı	Operating Revenues				1 000000
2	Add: Forfeited Discounts		0 0168	A/	0.016800
3	Balance				1.016800
4	Uncollectible Ratio		0 0093	B/	0.009456
5	Balance				1.007344
6	State Excise Tax		0 0650	C/	0.065477
_					
7	Balance				0 941866
8	Federal Income Tax		0 3500	C/	0 329653
Ū	rederal moonie rax		0 0000	O,	0 023000
9	Balance				0 612213
10	Devenue Conversion Factor (Line 4.7) inc. 4.4)				4.000.440
10	Revenue Conversion Factor (Line 1 / Line 11)				1.633418

A/ Company Exhibit 2, Sch. 2 (\$534,685/\$31,840,192) B/ Company Workpapers C/ Statutory Rate

Tennessee-American Water Cost of Capital For the 12 Months Ending December 31, 2005

2 Long Term Debt 42.30% 6 00% 2 54% 2 0 3 Preferred Equity 0 30% 5 00% 0.02% 4 Common Equity 51 10% 9 90% 5 06% 5 Total 100 00% 9 90% 5 06% 5 Total 100 00% 7.77% 0.00% 6 Short Term Debt 0 00% 0 00% 0 00% 7 Long Term Debt 19 20% 7 71% 1 48% 1.4 8 Common Equity 80.80% 7 77% 6.28% 1.4 9 Total 10 00% 7.76% 3.4 Final Capital Structure Weighted Weighted Veighted Cost Cost Tax Ded 10 Short Term Debt 5 09% 2 40% 0.12% 0.1 11 Long Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity	Line No_	Parent	Ratio	• Cost	Weighted Cost	Tax <u>Deductible</u>
2 Long Term Debt	1	Short Term Debt	6.30%	2 40%	0.15%	0 12%
3			42.30%	6 00%	2 54%	2 05%
4 Common Equity 51 10% 9 90% 5 06% 5 Total 100 00% 7.77% Weighted Cost 6 Short Term Debt 0 00% 0 00% 0 00% 7 Long Term Debt 19 20% 7 71% 1 48% 1.4 8 Common Equity 80.80% 7 77% 6.28% 9 Total 100 00% 7.76% 3.4 Final Capital Structure Parent Ratio Cost Cost Tax Ded 10 Short Term Debt 5 09% 2 40% 0.12% 0.1 11 Long Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity 41.29% 9 90% 4.09% Total Parent 80 80% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%		•	0 30%	5 00%	0.02%	
Tennessee American. Ratio Cost Cost		, ,	51 10%	9 90%	5 06%	
Tennessee American. Ratio Cost Cost 6 Short Term Debt 0 00% 0 00% 0 00% 7 Long Term Debt 19 20% 7 71% 1 48% 1.4 8 Common Equity 80.80% 7 77% 6.28% 1.4 9 Total 100 00% 7.76% 3.6 Final Capital Structure Parent Ratio Cost Cost Tax Ded 10 Short Term Debt 5 09% 2 40% 0.12% 0.1 11 Long Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity 41.29% 9.90% 4.09% Total Parent 80.80% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American: 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7.71% 1.48% 1.4 <td>5</td> <td>Total</td> <td>100 00%</td> <td></td> <td>7.77%</td> <td></td>	5	Total	100 00%		7.77%	
Tennessee American. Ratio Cost Cost 6 Short Term Debt 0 00% 0 00% 0 00% 7 Long Term Debt 19 20% 7 71% 1 48% 1.4 8 Common Equity 80.80% 7 77% 6.28% 1.4 9 Total 100 00% 7.76% 3.6 Final Capital Structure Parent Ratio Cost Cost Tax Ded 10 Short Term Debt 5 09% 2 40% 0.12% 0.1 11 Long Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity 41.29% 9.90% 4.09% Total Parent 80.80% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American: 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7.71% 1.48% 1.4 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
6 Short Term Debt 0 00% 0 00% 0 00% 1 48% 1.4 8 Common Equity 80.80% 7 77% 6.28% 9 Total 100 00% 7.76% 3.6 Final Capital Structure Parent Ratio Cost Cost Tax Ded 10 Short Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity 41.29% 9 90% 4.09% Total Parent 80.80% 7.76% 6.27% 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7 71% 1.48% 1.4				_	_	
7 Long Term Debt 19 20% 7 71% 1 48% 1.4 8 Common Equity 80.80% 7 77% 6.28% 9 Total 100 00% 7.76% 3.6 Final Capital Structure Parent Ratio Cost Cost Tax Ded 10 Short Term Debt 5 09% 2 40% 0.12% 0.1 11 Long Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity 41.29% 9 90% 4.09% Total Parent 80 80% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American: 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7.71% 1.48% 1.4		Tennessee American.	Ratio	Cost	Cost	
8 Common Equity 80.80% 7 77% 6.28% 9 Total 100.00% 7.76% 3.6 Final Capital Structure Weighted Parent Ratio Cost Cost Tax Ded 10 Short Term Debt 5 09% 2 40% 0.12% 0.1 11 Long Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity 41.29% 9 90% 4.09% Total Parent 80 80% 7.76% 6.27% 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7 71% 1.48% 1.4	6	Short Term Debt	0 00%	0 00%	0 00%	
Final Capital Structure Parent Ratio Cost Cost Tax Ded 10 Short Term Debt Long Term Debt Preferred Equity Common Equity Total Parent Tennessee American: 14 Short Term Debt 100 00% Total Parent 100 00% Ratio Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Tax Ded Cost Cost Tax Ded 100 00% 1	7	Long Term Debt	19 20%	7 71%	1 48%	1.48%
Final Capital Structure Parent Ratio Cost Cost Tax Ded 10 Short Term Debt Long Term Debt Preferred Equity Common Equity Total Parent Tennessee American: 14 Short Term Debt Short Term Debt Description Ratio Cost Cost Cost Cost Tax Ded 0.12% 0.12% 0.12% 0.12% 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.00% 0.01% 41.29% 9.90% 4.09% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American: 14 Short Term Debt Description 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 1.48% 1.48%	8	Common Equity	80.80%	7 77%	6.28%	
Parent Ratio Cost Weighted Cost Tax Ded 10 Short Term Debt 5 09% 2 40% 0.12% 0.1 11 Long Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity 41.29% 9 90% 4.09% Total Parent 80 80% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American: 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7 71% 1.48% 1 4	9	Total	100 00%		7.76%	3.65%
Parent Ratio Cost Weighted Cost Tax Ded 10 Short Term Debt 5 09% 2 40% 0.12% 0.1 11 Long Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity 41.29% 9 90% 4.09% Total Parent 80 80% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American: 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7 71% 1.48% 1 4						
Parent Ratio Cost Cost Tax Ded 10 Short Term Debt 5 09% 2 40% 0.12% 0.1 11 Long Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity 41.29% 9.90% 4.09% Total Parent 80.80% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American: 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7.71% 1.48% 1.4		Final Capital Structure				
10 Short Term Debt 5 09% 2 40% 0.12% 0.1 11 Long Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity 41.29% 9 90% 4.09% Total Parent 80 80% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American: 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.01% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7 71% 1.48% 1.4				• .	•	
11 Long Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity 41.29% 9.90% 4.09% Total Parent 80.80% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American* 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7.71% 1.48% 1.4		Parent	Ratio	Cost	Cost	Tax Deductible
11 Long Term Debt 34.18% 6.00% 2.05% 2.0 12 Preferred Equity 0.24% 5.00% 0.01% 13 Common Equity 41.29% 9.90% 4.09% Total Parent 80.80% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American* 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7.71% 1.48% 1.4	10	Short Term Debt	5 09%	2 40%	0.12%	0.12%
13 Common Equity 41.29% 9 90% 4.09% Total Parent 80 80% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American: 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.01 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7 71% 1.48% 1 4	11	Long Term Debt	34.18%	6.00%	2.05%	2.05%
Total Parent 80 80% 7.76% 6.27% Tennessee American: 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.01 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7.71% 1.48% 1.48%	12	Preferred Equity	0.24%	5.00%	0.01%	
Tennessee American 14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7 71% 1.48% 1 4	13	Common Equity	41.29%	9 90%	4.09%	
14 Short Term Debt 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 15 Long Term Debt 19.20% 7.71% 1.48% 1.48%		Total Parent	80 80%	7.76%	6.27%	
15 Long Term Debt <u>19.20%</u> <u>7 71%</u> <u>1.48%</u> 1 4						
						0.00%
16 Total Subsidiary 19.20% 7 71% 1 48%		-				1 48%
	16	Total Subsidiary	19.20%	7 71%	1 48%	
17 Total 100 00% 7.75% 3.6	17	Total	100 00%		7.75%	3.65%

Source Exhibit CAPD-SB, Schedules 37 and 38

TENNESSEE AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

Settlement Agreement Regarding Service Metrics and Reporting ` TRA Docket # 04-00288 January 27, 2005 SCHEDULE 10.

Customer Service - Call Center:

- 1. Begin Reporting Monthly Customer Care Scorecard (as identified in Mike Miller's Rebuttal Testimony) on a monthly basis and reporting to the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (TRA), the CAPD by March 1, 2005.
- 2. Develop similar Monthly Customer Care Scorecard with "Tennessee Specific" Statistics reporting by January 1, 2006 to TRA and CAPD. TAWC is not currently able to measure dropped calls from TN ratepayers, but will work with the Consumer Advocate toward establishing the necessary mechanisms to measure dropped calls, if practicable.

Tennessee Local Operations:

- 3. TAWC Employee Reporting by job title with its quarterly reporting to the TRA including allocated Tennessee specific Call Center employees.
- 4. Field Service (Tennessee Office) Monthly Reporting To TRA and CAPD by March 1, 2005
 - A. Service Orders Worked Monthly
 - B. Appointment Orders % on-time
 - C. Appointments Missed
 - D. Meter Reading
 - a. Total meters
 - b. Meters read
 - c. Estimates
 - d. % Estimated
 - e. Number of Meters not billed 3 months, 6 months, 12 months

Customer Surveys:

- 5. Customer Survey Responses Reported To TRA and CAPD On A Quarterly Basis beginning 2005) detailed by affiliate:
 - A. Satisfaction in Reaching American Water Call Center
 - B. Satisfaction with Call Center Operation Problem Resolution
 - C. Satisfaction with company response for service
 - D. Satisfaction with water quality

Tennessee American Water Company Rate Design - Docket #04-00288

		₹	Fire	Fire Hydrant							
		Present		Shift	ď	Post Shift	%	Increase	ase	%	Total
Residential	ક્ક	13,302,692	s	385,753	s	13,688,445	2 90%	\$ 127	127,686	\$ %600	13,816,131
Commercial		9,464,969		274,466		9,739,435	2 90%	6	90,849	0.93%	9,830,285
Industrial		3,399,370		98,575		3,497,945	2 90%	35	32,629	0 93%	3,530,574
Other Public Authority		2,500,771		72,518		2,573,289	2 90%	24	24,004	0.93%	2,597,292
Sales for Resale		920,714		26,699		947,413	2 90%	w	8,837	0 93%	956,250
Private Fire		1,354,352		39,274		1,393,626	2.90%	5	3,000	0 93%	1.406,625
Public Fire		897,285		(897,285)			-100.00%			%000	. '
Total	છ	\$ 31,840,153	8	-	υ	31,840,153	0.00%		297,005	\$ %860	0 93% \$ 32,137,158

A/ TAWC witness Herbert Exhibit